

Unattended feature interference during a dynamic sequence task

Sarah C. Tyler, Charles F. Chubb, and Emily D. Grossman

Department of Cognitive Sciences, University of California, Irvine

contact: sctyler@uci.edu



Center for
Cognitive Neuroscience
& Engineering

DEPARTMENT OF COGNITIVE SCIENCES

visual perception
and neuroimaging lab

Introduction

Discrimination of **features within temporal sequences** affected by:

- Rate of change of event (temporal frequency)
- Presence of distracters
- Saliency of target features
 - Persistent features (color, orientation, etc.) easily discriminable

Patterns of **temporal transients** are highly salient cues that promote perceptual organization (Lee and Blake, 1999; Guttman et al., 2007).


- Temporal saliency of transients can affect attention to abruptly changing features (von Mühlenen et al., 2005), or spatially group random dot patterns into unique objects (Lee and Blake, 1999; Hancock et al., 2008; Cheadle et al., 2010).

We explore whether subjects can extract polarity and transient timing features from temporal patterns, and the vulnerability of these cues to distractions.

Methods

-N=69 (ages 18-45, 27 male)

- Subjects viewed a pair of disks (4 deg eccentric)
 - Flicker for 1000-1200 ms, sandwiched in time between 350ms of textured disks
 - Temporal frequency: 2.5-15 Hz
 - Same frequency on individual trials
- **Aperiodic flicker cycle**: separately control polarity and transient timing of discs



Conditions: Attend to

1. **Polarity** (color) **synchrony** or
2. **Switch** (transient timing) **synchrony**

Task:


Subject compare synchrony of aperiodic discs based on condition

- Coherency of discs varied from 0-100%
 - 0% = least synchronous
 - 100% = most synchronous

Polarity Synchrony

no spatial distracters


with spatial distracters



Switch Synchrony

with polarity cues

no polarity cues



Conclusions

Even though transient timing information is important for temporally segregating perceptual information into unique events, feature-based attention mechanisms cannot selectively identify and analyze these signals in isolation.

Combined, features such as polarity, transient timing, color, and motion (to name a few) are all necessary to temporally segregate complex visual scenes. However, accessing certain features individually is not easily supported by feature-based attention mechanisms.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NSF BCS0748314 to EG

Special thanks to the members of VPNL